UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,999	03/30/2006	Claus Frohberg	65084.000018	9272
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 04/01/200 YILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	PAGE, BRENT T		
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,999	FROHBERG, CLAUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRENT PAGE	1638		

	BRENT PAGE	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on the tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	ion on with 27 CED 44 27 must be f	ilad within two manth	a af tha data af
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ocalise
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reju	otou olaliilo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-13,16-22,24,25,29 and 30.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but There remains enablement and written description issues thereof language in the claims as stated in the office action amendments obviate the rejections under 35 USC 112 1 However, as stated in the final office action mailed out or and with that language removed, the description is not acretain the function of a Class 3 branching enzyme. Furth which embodiments would be functional embodiments. A for scope of enablement and under 35 USC 112 1st para	s over variants, derivatives, fragme on mailed out on 12/11/2008. App of paragraph for both scope of enable 12/11/2008, the specifying of dominate to determine what variants be the condition of the conditions o	ints and "a" complement licant urges that the contempt and written denains was an imprope derivatives and fragrate of skill iin the art to	entary sequence laim escription. r incorporation, ments would o determine
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (-		
13 \(\subseteq \text{ Other:} \)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Russell Kallis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090320